

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/994,552	11/27/2001	Ralph F. Conley JR.	DBT-003	9719	
75	90 04/22/2005		EXAMINER		
Steven J. Rosen 4729 Cornell Road			HANNE, SARA M		
Cincinnati, OH			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2179	2179	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/994,552	CONLEY, RALPH F.
Examiner	Art Unit
Sara M Hanne	2179

	Sara M Hanne	2179					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state of the	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS F 36(a) and the appropriation of the fee. The appropriation	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee ate extension fee				
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	r than three months after the mailing da	te of the final rejection, e	even if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below):	00000				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bei appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	, ,,	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	•	•				
8. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
non-allowable claim(s). 7. Sor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of							
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			,				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.				
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:	(2				
- Meall	THER R. HERNDON						
- HEA	THER R. HERNDON SORY PATENT EXAMINER	smh .					
SUPERVI TECHN	NOLOGY CENTER 2100						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed Claim amendments to Claims 7, 16 and 19 present a new issue that would require further consideration. As to the argument that Kanno et al. fails to disclose a record hiding and unhiding means for selecting at least one but not all of the records to be hidden and unhidden from display on the screen the examiner disagrees. This feature is shown by the operation of the "+/-" interface. Records that have additional contents have a hiding and unhiding means and one, but not all, can be expanded by clicking on a particular record's "+/-" icon. Furthermore, records that do not have the other records withing do not have the means for hidding and unhiding. In response to the argument that Khan does not teach a searchable database the examiner responds as follows: the limitation in the claims that is taught by Khan is "a means for searching on at least one term in a displayed one of said fields" or records as in Claim 11. Furthermore, Khan teaches a "searching of bookmarks in a user's online bookmark account" which reads as a database and searching fields Khan calls "search areas" that are displayed. In response to the argument that Khan does not teach editable fields, the examiner notes that there is nowhere in the rejection that Khan is relied upon to teach this limitation.